<b>Notice of Panel Decision</b>
from Pre-Appeal Brief
Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
09/462,179	PEDUTO ET AL	·
	Art Unit	
Marc A. Patterson	1782	

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for Review	filed 27 Dece	ember 2010.	
<ol> <li>Improper Request – The F reason(s):</li> </ol>	Request is improper and a	conference w	vill not be held for the following	
☐ The Notice of Appeal has☐ The request does not incl☐ A proposed amendment i☐ Other:	ude reasons why a review	is appropriat	te.	
The time period for filing a respor the mail date of the last Office co			tte of the Notice of Appeal or from s been received.	
held. The application remains und is required to submit an appeal browning will be reset to be one month running from the receipt of the no	der appeal because there rief in accordance with 37 in from mailing this decision tice of appeal, whichever in 7 CFR 1.136 based upon	is at least one CFR 41.37. T n, or the bala is greater. Fu	-Appeal Brief conference has been e actual issue for appeal. Applicant The time period for filing an appeal nce of the two-month time period rther, the time period for filing of the of this decision or the receipt date	
The panel has determine Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-3,10-14 Claim(s) withdrawn from cor	, ,16-18 and 26-28 (pending		rs:	
3. Allowable application – A Allowance will be mailed. Prosect applicant at this time.				
4. Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action will be mailed. No further action is required by applicant at this time.				
All participants:				
(1) <u>ROBERT J. WARDEN</u> .	(3)	<i>Rena Dye</i> .		
(2) Marc A. Patterson.	(4)			
/ROBERT J. WARDEN/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1700	/Marc A Patterson/ Primary Examiner, Art Ur		/Rena L. Dye/ Supervisory Patent Examiner Art Unit 1782	